1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
2	United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON (CABN 88143)
3	Chief, Civil Division NEILL T. TSENG (CABN 220348)
4	Assistant United States Attorney
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7155 FAX: (415) 436-6748	Telephone: (415) 436-7155
7	neill.tseng@usdoj.gov
8	Attorneys for Federal Defendant
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	ERNEST C. WHEELER,) No. C 08-01738 SBA
13	Plaintiff, FEDERAL DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO
14) PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT v.
15	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency Defendant.
16	
17	
18	
19	COMES NOW R. David Paulison, Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management
20	Agency, a component of the Department of Homeland Security and on behalf of the Department
21	of Homeland Security's Secretary Michael Chertoff (hereinafter "FEMA"), by the undersigned
22	counsel, and hereby responds to Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:
23	1. Paragraph No. 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law and appears
24	to be a description of the plaintiff's action to which no answer is required. To the extent an
25	answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies the allegations in paragraph No. 1.
26	2. FEMA admits the allegations in paragraph No. 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
27	3. Paragraph No. 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains a description of the party
28	
	C 08-01738 SBA

plaintiff and no answer is required; to the extent that an answer may be required, FEMA states that it is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein, and therefore denies same.

- 4. There are two paragraphs numbered "4" in Plaintiff's Complaint. The first paragraph No. 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint is the Plaintiff's statement of venue that contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent that a response is deemed necessary, FEMA states that it is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph No. 4 and therefore denies same.
- 5. The second paragraph No. 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, under heading "Allegations Common to all Claims for Relief," incorporates by reference all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint as if fully set forth therein. No answer is required to the second paragraph No. 4, but to the extent an answer may be required, FEMA incorporates by reference as if set forth fully herein, all of its responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 6. FEMA admits the first two sentences in paragraph No. 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint. The third sentence in paragraph No. 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, FEMA denies the same, except to admit Michael Chertoff is the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security and FEMA is a component of the Department of Homeland Security.
- 7. FEMA denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint except to admit that between November 26, 2001 and March 2005, FEMA deployed the plaintiff approximately 12 times to disasters and deployed or activated Mr. Wheeler approximately three times for training including disaster specific training and Resolving Conflicts, that Mr. Wheeler completed Emergency Management Institute courses, "Incident Command System Curricula" in 2003 and "Hospital Emergency Response Training (HERT) for Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Events Train the Trainer-Pilot 3.1 CEU" in 2004.
 - 8. FEMA denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 7 of Plaintiff's

- 9. Paragraph No. 8 of the Plaintiff's Complaint contains a statement of plaintiff's case and conclusions of law to which no answer is required and factual allegations. FEMA denies all of the allegations contained in paragraph No. 8 and subparagraphs a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, and l of Plaintiff's Complaint, except to admit that between July 2004 and March 2005, Judith Reilly was the acting Section Chief of the Safety Section of the Safety and Security Branch, Facilities Management Division at FEMA-DHS and acted as the plaintiff's supervisor as indicated in the first sentence of paragraph No. 8.
- 10. Paragraph 9 of the Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no response is required, and factual allegations. FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 9.
- 11. Paragraph No. 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no response is required and factual allegations. FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 10.
- 12. Paragraph No. 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law, and damage claims to which no response is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 11.
- 13. Paragraph No. 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law and damage claims to which no response is required and factual allegations. FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 12.
- 14. In answer to paragraph No. 13, FEMA's information and belief is that Plaintiff initiated contact with the EEO Agent for FEMA on June 5, 2005. On that basis, FEMA denies

C 08-01738 SBA

contained in paragraph No. 7.

- 15. FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 14; except to admit that on September 2, 2005 FEMA's Office of Equal Rights notified the plaintiff of his right to file a formal complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and that the letter speaks for itself.
- 16. Paragraph No. 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies the allegations except to admit that on or about September 8, 2005 the plaintiff's formal EEO complaint alleging discrimination was filed with FEMA's Office of Equal Rights, and the complaint speaks for itself.
- 17. Paragraph No. 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies the allegations except to admit that more than 180 days have passed since the date that Mr. Wheeler filed his formal EEO complaint on September 8, 2005, and that the EEOC dismissed the plaintiff's EEO complaint on April 1, 2008, and that no appeal has been filed to the best of FEMA's knowledge.
- 18. Paragraph No. 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies the allegations except to admit that in response to Mr. Wheeler's counsel's correspondence with the EEOC, the EEOC dismissed the plaintiff's EEO complaint on April 1, 2008, and that the papers must speak for themselves and that no appeal has been filed with the EEOC regarding the plaintiff's complaint to the best of FEMA's knowledge and information.
- 19. FEMA is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the first sentence of paragraph No. 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and the second sentence of paragraph No. 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains plaintiff's claims for relief and conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations.
 - 20. Paragraph No. 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint under heading "Sex Discrimination -

C 08-01738 SBA

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964" incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint as if fully set forth therein. No answer is required to paragraph No. 19, but to the extent an answer may be required, FEMA incorporates by reference as if set forth fully herein, all of its responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 18, including the second paragraph number 4, of Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 21. Paragraph No. 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 22. Paragraph No. 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 23. Paragraph No. 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint under heading "Age Discrimination Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967" incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint as if fully set forth therein. No answer is required to paragraph No. 22, but to the extent an answer may be required, FEMA incorporates by reference as if set forth fully herein, all of its responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 18, including the second paragraph number 4, of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 24. Paragraph No. 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 23 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 25. Paragraph No. 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 26. Paragraph No. 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint under heading "Hostile Work
 Environment Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Age Discrimination in Employment
 Act" incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 24 of Plaintiff's
 Complaint as if fully set forth therein. No answer is required to paragraph No. 25, but to the

> C 08-01738 SBA FED. DEF.'S ANSWER TO PL.'S COMPL.

extent an answer may be required, FEMA incorporates by reference as if set forth fully herein, all of its responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 24, including the second paragraph number 4, of Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 27. Paragraph No. 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 28. Paragraph No. 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint contain conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 29. Paragraph No. 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint under heading "Reprisal Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967" incorporates by reference all of the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint as if fully set forth therein. No answer is required to paragraph No. 28, but to the extent an answer may be required, FEMA incorporates by reference as if set forth fully herein, all of its responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 28, including the second paragraph number 4, of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 30. Paragraph No. 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint contains conclusions of law to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in paragraph No. 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 31. Unnumbered paragraph beginning "Wherefore" contains conclusions of law, and the plaintiff's damage claims to which no answer is required; to the extent an answer is deemed necessary, FEMA denies all allegations contained in unnumbered paragraph beginning "Wherefore".

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

There is no jurisdiction under Title VII because Plaintiff failed to exhaust his

C 08-01738 SBA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

26

27

28

FED. DEF.'S ANSWER TO PL.'S COMPL.